

The role of Net Promoter Score (NPS) in improving and predicting sales growth : An empirical investigation

Dr. P. Megaladevi

Professor & DEAN, School of Management Studies
Jai Shriram Engineering College, Tirupur, Tamil Nadu, India
Email - deanjrsms@gmail.com

Abstract: *To measure the customer thinking and a forecaster of sales growth, managers frequently use Net Promoter Score (NPS). Practitioners have changed how NPS is used over time, moving away from its original use as a transaction-based customer loyalty indicator and towards a metric for monitoring overall brand health that incorporates feedback from non-customers. Despite NPS's persistent management appeal, academics are nonetheless dubious about it, pointing to methodological problems and continued worries with NPS measurement. By examining data from seven firms active in the U.S. sportswear market over a five-year period, this study revisits the use of NPS as a predictor of sales growth. Our findings support the validity of methodological concerns raised by academics, while also supporting the original premise of NPS. Furthermore, they show that only the more recently developed brand health measure of NPS (using a sample of all potential customers) is capable of accurately forecasting future sales growth*

Key Words: *Net Promoter Score, Customer attitude, Marketing performance metrics, Brand strength, Customer pleasure.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

Net Promoter Score (NPS) is a customer loyalty and satisfaction measurement taken from asking customers how likely they are to recommend your product or service to others on a scale of 0-10. Net Promoter Score measures customer experience and predicts business growth. This provides the core measurement for customer experience management programs the world round.

Based on the respondent's selection of numbers, they are again categorised in to 3 categories.

- Promoters (score 9-10) are loyal enthusiasts who will keep buying and refer others, fueling growth
- Passives (score 7-8) are satisfied but unenthusiastic customers who are vulnerable to competitive offerings
- Detractors (score 0-6) are unhappy customers who can damage your brand and impede growth through negative word-of-mouth

In 2003, Reichheld recommend managers to adopt Net Promoter Score (NPS) is "the one number you need to grow" in order to increase sales. In the interim many firms have adopted NPS. More than two thirds of Fortune 1000 firms across numerous industries apply NPS to their business (Kaplan, 2016). Researchers identified several methodological concerns with the original NPS study. They analyzed past but not future sales growth rates by using simple correlations and focused on static NPS levels measured at one point in time. The need for NPS as a predictor of future sales growth is most essential (e.g., Grisaffe, 2007; Sharp, 2008; Shaw, 2008). In studies conducted by van Doorn et al. (2013) and Pingitore et al. (2007) found that NPS can predict sales growth to a certain extent. Van Doorn et al. (2013, p. 317) stated that "the predictive capability of customer metrics, such as NPS, for Overall, it is accurate to say that, despite some limited evidence in favour of NPS's predictive power, academic opinion on it is largely unfavorable (Bendle et al., 2019).

NPS is a metric for customer loyalty and also a benchmarking and goal putting in sales (e.g., Markey, 2014; Qualtrics, 2020). Few academic researches have been performed on NPS as a brand fitness metric, possibly because of the early improvement of a enormously negative notion of NPS as a subject for academics. This paper revisits the utility of NPS as a predictor for increase in income. In analyzing several methodological concerns in instructional research (e.g., Grisaffe, 2007; Shaw, 2008) and concerning Reichheld's original NPS usage, and additionally recall how buyers have advanced using NPS in present day exercise (e.g., Fitzgerald, 2017; Markey, 2014). Our effects display that

NPS can be a valid predictor of sales growth below positive situations, however in addition they confirm evaluations of the methodology employed by Reichheld.

The managerial practice of the usage of NPS as a degree of brand fitness, by monitoring NPS for all potential customers (e.g., Fitzgerald, 2017; Markey, 2014), has provided the managers with new steering on how to enhance their cutting-edge practices around NPS, and open up new instructions for the academic look at of NPS. The paper provide a top level view of the present day nation of scholarly literature on NPS, and delineate the methodological troubles associated with earlier NPS research. We introduce the technique, paying specific attention to how we cope with the troubles raised in preceding studies that is observed through the presentation and discussion of our consequences. The principles and practices, and offer guidelines for future studies on NPS and concluding feedback.

NPS is a survey based totally metric that excelled in predicting destiny income growth. It is based totally on purchaser responses to at least one question like possibility to propose the product to others which is simple to calculate. NPS is more effective than measuring retention fees or repurchase motive as those metrics are not constantly tied to loyalty, but can be based on comfort or the dearth of opportunity products. The solutions to the NPS question are given on a scale from 0 to 10 and are grouped into 3 classes after which calculated really through taking the difference among them and dividing it by the overall score as Net Promoter

2. METHOD:

The present study reviews and strengthens the academic uncertainty through a number of articles which point out methodological problems within the calculation of the NPS metric, and/or in Reichheld's (2003) original study. The NPS calculation on the discount of reaction variability and the exclusion of the pattern, and arbitrary cut-off factors is explained in the study. In the study by Cooil, Andreassen, & Aksoy, (2007) concluded that NPS isn't always a predictor of destiny income boom, it is shown that the results for some industries have medium correlations, which have been statistically insignificant. This could be because of sample sizes which is too small to provide to locate statistically vast effects (Bonett & Wright, 2000).

This range in studies design and operation of NPS using correlations as opposed to regressions, move sectional versus longitudinal facts, purpose to advise versus recommendation conduct mixed with regularly limited variety of observations, make significant comparisons among research tough, and also make it hard to draw sturdy conclusions concerning the validity of NPS. Additional methodological concerns include time lags, static versus dynamic NPS, and the use of NPS as a purchaser loyalty as opposed to a logo health measure.

Gruca and Rego (2005) also word that consumer attitude metrics work mainly nicely in consumer goods industries wherein customers have quick inter purchase cycles, together with the garb, athletic shoes, or beer industry.

Our selection of a appropriate studies context is pushed by means of the belief that the application of NPS is almost surely concern to industry variations (e.g., de Haan et al., 2015; Keiningham, Cooil, Andreassen, & Aksoy, 2007; Reichheld, 2003) and it's far consequently unlikely that NPS (or different purchaser attitude metrics) could be the "one quantity you need to develop" (Reichheld, 2003,) in all industries. NPS works satisfactory in industries wherein clients are more likely to present tips, which includes those with high emotional involvement in the acquisition decision (Shaw, 2008).

The data required for the study became accrued by means of a market studies firm in accordance with the tactics proposed by using Bain & organization. This ensures that our NPS records is gathered in a comparable way to Reichheld's (2003), that's crucial to ensure comparability, particularly when you consider that all preceding research on NPS have differed on this regard (see Bendle et al., 2019). NPS records were collected using a on line survey from purchasers who had purchased sportswear. The use of an online survey is appropriate as net penetration is at 98% for this age group (Pew research center, 2018). each person turned into surveyed as soon as and provided comments on five manufacturers.

3. RESULT:

The review of the papers started with many research studies by fastened method. In reading descriptive records of the information many stages of analysis was taken. Taking a better investigate the variations of the NPS levels, the researcher also additionally locate that the same old deviation for consumer loyalty NPS is decreased than for logo health and NPS which can be defined due to the reality that the most and minimal client loyalty NPS vary less than for logo fitness NPS. The differences throughout the metrics and samples, aid East et al.'s (2011) findings, that non or ex-customers of a emblem are the biggest source of bad mind set towards manufacturers, suggesting that metrics which might be simplest which is based on responses from present day customers which fluctuate less and are biased. The contemporary clients alternate their mind about brands than ability customers.

The correlation analysis in the study shows that NPS measures are not correlated and future income increase for this reason, our consequences range from the findings by way of Reichheld (2003) and Pingitore et al. (2007), who

determined high correlations among static levels of NPS and ancient income growth. This will be explained due to the truth that the evaluation is not always immediately similar with their tactics, as the sample sizes are to be considered for findings. We have to locate the correlation between static degrees of NPS and modern sales boom as a measure of NPS and sales growth. While comparing the common sales boom for all quarters in which NPS stages/NPS changes are above and underneath their respective averages. The results display that income growth is on common better wherein NPS modifications are high.

To research if the differences also are statistically vast, we can calculate t-test controlling for unique well known deviations by using a pooled fashionable deviation (Rosnow & Rosenthal, 1996). The evaluation presents preliminary indications that brands can develop their income by growing their NPS, however this is not the only mean that high NPS stages will cause sales growth. The modifications in NPS can give clarification for income growth, we have to adopt a model that explains the differences in income and brand or among brands. Variance of the model describes the utilization in our version, which includes NPS, which explains sales increase of each brand in the view over the years.

4. CONCLUSION:

Our research has dealt in elements which are prevailing in educational critique on NPS. whereas prior research on NPS has been situation to parts of the same critique as Reichheld's (2003). It is observed that, our study is to address the critique holistically while also incorporating factors of NPS use in practice. The study finds that NPS may be a valid predictor of destiny sales growth under sure conditions. We have to adopt a valid methodology to evaluate by the reviews of Reichheld's (2003). Modifications in NPS have predictive price when forecasting sales growth in the near future and that NPS, with modern managerial exercise to be used as a measure of strength and no longer as a patron loyalty metric. This study will have given managers with new insights on a way to use NPS, and will help additionally and initiate more research in this key practitioner metric.

REFERENCES:

1. Anderson, E. W., & Sullivan, M. W. (1993). The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. *Marketing Science*, 12(2), 125–143.
2. Bendle, N. T., Bagga, C. K., & Nastasoii, A. (2019). Forging a stronger academic-practitioner partnership - the case of net promoter score (NPS). *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 27(2), 210–226.
3. Bonett, D. G., & Wright, T. A. (2000). Sample size requirements forestimating Pearson, Kendall and spearman correlations.
4. de Haan, E., Verhoef, P. C., & Wiesel, T. (2015). The predictive ability of different customer feedback metrics for retention. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 32(2), 195–206.
5. East, R., Romaniuk, J., & Lomax, W. (2011). The NPS and the ACSI: A critique and an alternative metric. *International Journal of Market Research*, 53(3), 327–347
6. Fitzgerald, M. (2017). Net promoter: Implement the system. Maurice FitzGerald Consulting.
7. Granger, C. W. J. (2004). Time series analysis, Cointegration, and applications. *American Economic Review*, 94(3), 421–425.
8. Grisaffe, D. B. (2007). Questions about the ultimate question : Conceptual considerations in evaluating Reichheld's net promoterscore. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, Dissatisfaction & Complaining Behavior*, 20, 36–53.
9. Gruca, T. S., & Rego, L. L. (2005). Customer satisfaction, cash flow, and shareholder value. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 115–130.
10. Keiningham, T. L., Aksoy, L., Cooil, B., & Andreassen, T. W. (2008). Net promoter, recommendations, and business performance: A clarification on Morgan and Rego. *Marketing Science*, 27(3), 531–532
11. Kaplan, J. (2016). The Inventor of Customer Satisfaction Surveys is Sick of them, too. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-05-04/tasty-taco-helpful-hygenist-are-all-those-surveys-of-any-use>. Accessed October 30, 2020.
12. Markey, R. (2014). The Benefits of a Competitive Benchmark Net Promoter Score, <https://www.bain.com/insights/the-benefits-of-a-competitive-benchmark-net-promoter-score>. Accessed October 30, 2020.
13. Pew Research Center. (2018). Percentage of Internet User by Age Groups, <https://www.statista.com/statistics/266587>. Accessed October 30, 2020.
14. Pingitore, G., Morgan, N. A., Rego, L. L., Gigliotti, A., & Meyers, J. (2007). The single-question trap. *Marketing Research*, 19(2), 8–13.
15. Reichheld, F. F., & Markey, R. (2011). *The Ulimate question 2.0*. HBR Press.
16. Rosnow, R. L., & Rosenthal, R. (1996). Computing contrasts, effect sizes, and counternulls on other people's published data: General procedures for research consumers. *Psychological Methods*, 1, 331–340.
17. Qualtrics (2020). Transactional vs. Relational NPS, <https://www.qualtrics.com/uk/experience-management/customer/transactional-vs-relational-nps>. Accessed October 30, 2020
18. Van Doorn, J., Leeflang, P. S. H., & Tijs, M. (2013). Satisfaction as a predictor of future performance: A replication. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 30(3), 314–318.