

Designing a Curriculum Adaptation Package to Enhance Academic Achievement of Students with Intellectual Disabilities in an Inclusive Education System

¹ Dr. SYEDA KHAJA MOHSINA BANU, ² Prof. SIDDIQUI MOHD. MAHMOOD

¹Urdu Officer Grade-II, District Minorities Welfare Office, Hanumakonda, Telangana

²Senior Professor of Education & Training & Officer on Special Duty,
Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.

Email - ¹skmb2026@gmail.com, ²siddiquimohd.mahmood@yahoo.com

Abstract: Inclusive education strives to accommodate all learners regardless of their abilities. However, students with Intellectual Disabilities (ID) face unique challenges that hinder their academic success in mainstream classrooms. This theoretical paper explores the conceptual underpinnings of designing a curriculum adaptation package tailored for students with ID (Intellectual Disabilities) within an inclusive system. Drawing from theories of inclusive pedagogy, Universal Design for Learning (UDL), and differentiated instruction, the paper highlights the need for curricular flexibility and individualized support. It discusses key elements such as simplified content, multi-modal teaching, flexible assessment, and teacher training. The proposed framework aims to create equitable academic opportunities, foster cognitive development, and ensure active participation of students with ID (Intellectual Disabilities) in general education classrooms.

The concept of curriculum adaptation involves modifying instructional strategies, assessment methods, and learning materials to suit the specific needs of students. A well-structured curriculum adaptation package can enhance academic achievement by making learning more accessible and engaging for students with ID (Intellectual Disabilities).

This paper focuses on developing a curriculum adaptation package and evaluating its effectiveness in improving the academic performance of students with ID (Intellectual Disabilities) in an inclusive educational setting.

Key Words: Inclusive Education, Intellectual Disabilities, Curriculum Adaptation, Universal Design for Learning, Academic Achievement.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Inclusive education promotes the right of every child to quality education, regardless of physical, cognitive, or emotional differences. Students with intellectual disabilities, characterized by limitations in intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour, often struggle to keep pace with the standard curriculum. While inclusive policies exist, their implementation is hindered by rigid curricula, insufficient teacher preparation, and a lack of adaptive instructional strategies. There is a critical need for a structured curriculum adaptation package that aligns educational content with the learning needs of students with ID (Intellectual Disabilities), enabling them to access, engage with, and achieve academic success in inclusive classrooms.

2. Conceptual Framework:

2.1. Inclusive Education Philosophy

Inclusive education means giving all children (no matter their abilities or disabilities) a chance to learn together in the same classroom. This idea was supported globally in the **Salamanca Statement (1994)** and again in India's **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**. It is not just about placing children with special needs in regular schools

(integration), but about **changing the teaching methods, classroom setup, and school environment** so that every student can learn and participate fully.

2.2. Intellectual Disabilities:

Intellectual disabilities (as defined by the American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities) involve significant limitations in both intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior, affecting conceptual, social, and practical skills. These learners often require repeated instruction, visual supports, and simplified tasks.

Students with **intellectual disabilities** have difficulties in thinking, understanding, and doing everyday tasks. According to experts, this includes problems in areas like **learning new ideas, social skills, and daily activities**. In school, these students usually need **more time, repeated explanations, simple instructions, and visual aids** to help them understand and remember what they are learning.

2.3. Theoretical Anchors

- **Universal Design for Learning (UDL):** Proposes multiple means of representation, expression, and engagement to accommodate learners' diverse needs.
- **Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD):** Encourages scaffolded learning to bridge the gap between what a learner can do independently and with support.
- **Differentiated Instruction Theory:** Focuses on adapting content, process, and product based on learners' readiness, interest, and learning profiles.

3. Need for Curriculum Adaptation in Inclusive Settings:

Standard curricula are often unsuitable for students with ID due to their abstract concepts, rapid pace, and reliance on verbal instruction. This mismatch results in low academic achievement, disengagement, and behavioral issues. Curriculum adaptation ensures access to learning by modifying materials, methodologies, and assessments to align with each student's ability and potential.

In inclusive classrooms, students with and without disabilities learn together. However, the regular school curriculum is usually designed for students without learning difficulties. This creates challenges for students with **Intellectual disabilities (ID)**, who may struggle to understand complex topics, keep up with the fast pace of lessons, or follow long spoken explanations.

For example, a math lesson that involves abstract ideas like algebra or word problems may be very confusing for a student with ID. Similarly, a history class that moves quickly through difficult vocabulary and long paragraphs may be overwhelming. Because of this, students with ID may become **frustrated, lose interest, fall behind in studies**, and sometimes even develop **behavioral problems** due to stress or lack of support.

This is why **curriculum adaptation is essential** in inclusive classrooms. Curriculum adaptation means **modifying what we teach (content), how we teach it (method), and how we test it (assessment)** to match the needs of each learner. For students with ID, this might include using **simpler language**, providing **visual aids**, breaking lessons into **smaller steps**, or allowing more time for tasks.

Adapting the curriculum helps ensure that all students (especially those with intellectual disabilities) can **participate meaningfully, learn at their own pace, and feel included and successful** in the classroom. It promotes equal learning opportunities and helps every child reach their full potential.

4. Designing the Curriculum Adaptation Package:

To support students with intellectual disabilities in inclusive classrooms, a well-planned curriculum adaptation package is necessary. The proposed curriculum adaptation package includes the following components:

4.1. Content Adaptation

- Simplifying language of textbooks and instructions manageable units
- Break lessons into smaller parts, so students can focus on one idea at a time.
- Use real-life examples, visuals and pictures to explain difficult or abstract concepts.
- Highlight key concepts using bold fonts, bullet points, and summaries.
- Focus more on functional and life skills, especially for students with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities.

4.2. Instructional Strategies

- Use **multi-sensory teaching methods**—like showing pictures, playing sounds, and hands-on activities.
- **Repeat important concepts regularly** to help students remember and apply them.
- **Encourage peer support**, such as buddy systems or group learning activities.
- Use **interactive tools and assistive technology**, like talking calculators or audio books.
- **Provide step-by-step guidance**, using checklists or visual schedules for daily routines.

4.3. Assessment Modifications

- Use alternative assessment methods, such as oral answers, pictures, or practical tasks.
- Adapt tests to match each student's ability level, avoiding complex language or long questions.
- Provide extended time or allow breaks during assessments to reduce stress.
- Assess individual growth rather than comparing students to the rest of the class.
- Create flexible environments for testing, such as quiet rooms or one-on-one settings.

4.4. Teacher Training and Support

- Organize regular training workshops on inclusive teaching strategies.
- Provide teachers with simple tools and resources for curriculum adaptation.
- Encourage teamwork between general teachers and special educators for planning and problem-solving.
- Offer emotional and professional support through school counsellors or mentors.
- Develop and implement Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) for students, with input from parents and specialists.

5. Implementation Framework:

A phased model of implementation is suggested:

- **Assessment of Learner Needs:** Diagnostic evaluations to understand intellectual functioning and learning styles.
- **Curriculum Planning:** Mapping core objectives with adaptations for each subject.
- **Capacity Building:** Training teachers in adapted pedagogy and inclusive classroom management.
- **Pilot Testing:** Trial of the adaptation package in selected classrooms.
- **Evaluation and Feedback:** Monitoring academic progress and refining the package based on outcomes.

6. Anticipated Outcomes:

If implemented effectively, the curriculum adaptation package is expected to:

- Improve academic performance and self-esteem of students with ID
- Increase teacher confidence and preparedness for inclusive education
- Foster collaborative and empathetic classroom environments
- Reduce dropout rates and enhance educational equity

7. Challenges and Limitations:

Despite the growing support for inclusive education, there are several challenges in effectively designing and implementing a curriculum adaptation package for students with intellectual disabilities. These challenges need to be addressed through strong policy, planning, and commitment at all levels of the education system.

- **Resistance from Traditional Educators:**
Many teachers are used to one-size-fits-all teaching methods and may **resist change**. They may feel unprepared or overwhelmed by the idea of modifying lessons for different Learners, especially if they have never received training in inclusive practices.
- **Lack of Training in Differentiated Instruction**
A large number of educators have **limited or no exposure to differentiated instruction** techniques. Without proper knowledge of how to adapt curriculum, instruction, and assessments, teachers may struggle to meet the diverse needs of students with intellectual disabilities.
- **Shortage of Special Educators**
There is a significant **lack of trained special educators**, especially in rural and under-resourced areas. Their

absence makes it difficult to assess students' needs properly, develop Individualized Education Plans (IEPs), or provide continuous support to mainstream teachers.

- **Inadequate Teaching and Learning Resources**
many schools lack **adaptive learning materials**, assistive devices, or technological tools such as audio books, tactile learning aids, or communication boards, which are essential for students with intellectual disabilities to access learning.
- **Overcrowded Classrooms**
In schools with **high student-teacher ratios**, giving individual attention becomes difficult. Teachers are unable to spend time adapting content or working closely with students who need more support.
- **Limited Time and Curriculum Pressure**
Teachers are often bound by **strict time schedules and syllabus completion targets**, leaving little room for individual adaptations or slower-paced teaching required by students with intellectual disabilities.
- **Poor Infrastructure and Accessibility**
Many school buildings are **not physically accessible** or lack supportive classroom environments for children with disabilities, such as ramps, proper seating, or calm spaces needed for personalized learning.
- **Resource Constraints in Government Schools**
Especially in government or low-income schools, there are **budgetary limitations** that prevent hiring of special educators, purchasing teaching aids, or investing in teacher training programs for inclusive education.
- **Lack of Policy Implementation and Monitoring**
Even when inclusive education is supported by national policies (like NEP 2020), **implementation at the grassroots level is weak** due to poor coordination among departments, lack of monitoring, and insufficient accountability systems.
- **Social Attitudes and Stigma**
finally, **negative attitudes or low expectations** from peers, teachers, and even parents can discourage inclusion. Social stigma around disability continues to be a barrier to full participation of students with intellectual disabilities in mainstream education.

8. CONCLUSION:

Curriculum adaptation plays a vital role in making inclusive education meaningful and effective for students with intellectual disabilities. It ensures that all learners, regardless of their cognitive challenges, can access quality education tailored to their individual needs and strengths. By applying sound educational theories and practical strategies (such as content simplification, flexible instruction, and modified assessment) teachers can foster academic achievement and meaningful participation. A thoughtfully designed adaptation package supports not only the academic development of these learners but also promotes equality, respect, and inclusiveness in the classroom environment. However, to ensure its success, ongoing teacher training, policy support, and collaboration among stakeholders are essential. Future research and field studies are necessary to refine these adaptation models and assess their effectiveness across diverse educational settings.

REFERENCES:

1. Ainscow, M. (2005). Developing inclusive education systems: what are the levers for change *Journal of Educational Change*, 6(2), 109–124.
2. American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD). (2010). *Intellectual Disability: Definition, Classification, and Systems of Supports*.
3. Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). *Teaching Every Student in the Digital Age: Universal Design for Learning*. ASCD.
4. Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms*. ASCD.
5. UNESCO. (1994). *The Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education*.
6. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
7. National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education, Government of India.