DOIs:10.2017/IJRCS/202511009 --:-- Research Paper / Article / Review

An in-depth literature review on the impact of the quality of digital services on the level of satisfaction experienced by customers in the banking industry

Priti A Rajyaguru
Visiting lecturer, MBA department maharaja krishnakumarsinhji Bhavnagar University
Email: Pdupadhyay9@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: *The purpose of this work is to provide a synthesis of both theoretical and empirical research that investigates the relationship between customer satisfaction and electronic service quality (also known as e-service quality, or e-SQ) in the banking industry. The review incorporates data on e-service quality dimensions (such as E-S-QUAL and modified SERVQUAL), mediators (such as trust and perceived value), moderators (such as demographics, culture, and technological readiness), and behavioral consequences (such as loyalty, retention, word-of-mouth, and intention to switch). The use of a systematic search process allows for the summary of trends in measurement and methodology, the identification of methodological limits, and the proposal of a research agenda that places an emphasis on longitudinal, multi-method, and cross-cultural studies. In this section, we explore the limits of the review as well as the managerial implications for banks.*

Key Words: *service quality, E-S-QUAL, customer satisfaction, internet banking, mobile banking, trust, online service quality.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

Because of the rising digitization of banking services, e-service quality, also known as e-SQ, has become the focal point of competitive strategy for organisations that provide financial services. In turn, customer satisfaction has an effect on retention, cross-selling success, and profitability. E-SQ, which stands for the perceived excellence of online service delivery, is a factor that influences customer satisfaction. Because of (a) the high security and regulatory requirements, (b) the complicated service operations (such as payments, loans, and advise), and (c) the critical role that trust plays, the banking industry offers a unique setting for the study of electronic social questions. This study presents a synthesis of the existing literature on the topic of how the quality of e-services influences customer satisfaction in banking, draws attention to concerns that have not yet been resolved, and suggests potential avenues for further research.

1.1 Objectives

- Provide a concise summary of the most common criteria and methods of measurement for e-service quality in the banking industry.
- Compile the empirical information that establishes a connection between the quality of e-services and the level of customer satisfaction and behavioral results.
- Identify the mediators and moderators that are responsible for influencing the link between e-SQ and satisfaction.
- Conduct an in-depth analysis of the methodological tendencies and shortcomings.
- Make suggestions for a research agenda as well as advice for management.

1.2 Scope: Digital banking touchpoints, such as internet banking, mobile banking, web portals, and online customer service, are the primary focus of attention. Service quality studies that are conducted solely offline are not considered, unless they are specifically compared with the quality of e-services in hybrid environments.

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION AND KEY CONSTRUCT:

2.1 Defining e-service quality (e-SQ):

According to Zeithaml, Parasuraman, and Malhotra (2002) and Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Malhotra (2005), e-service quality refers to the final assessment that customers make on the quality of the electronic service that is being provided to them. The dependability of the system, the quality of the information, the responsiveness, the usability, the security and privacy, and the personalization of the banking experience are all important factors.

2.2 Differentiating electronic service quality from traditional service quality:

While traditional service quality models, such as SERVQUAL, place an emphasis on tangibles and human interactions, electronic service quality (e-SQ) places an emphasis on technical and system characteristics (such as uptime and load speed), content attributes (such as correctness and timeliness), and process attributes (such as ease of transaction and error recovery) (Santos, 2003). The concepts of security and trust carry a larger weight in the context of banking.

2.3 Dominant measurement models

- **E-S-QUAL / E-RecS-QUAL** (Parasuraman et al., 2005) — specialized scales for online service contexts.
- **Adapted SERVQUAL** — many studies adapt SERVQUAL dimensions to online channels.
- **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)** and extensions — constructs like perceived usefulness and ease of use appear frequently in adoption and satisfaction research.
- **Integrated frameworks** — combinations of e-SQ measures with trust, perceived risk/value, and satisfaction are common.

3. DIMENSIONS OF E- SERVICE QUALITY IN BANKING:

Synthesizing empirical evidence (Jun & Cai, 2001; Raza, Umer, Qureshi, & Dahri, 2020), the most commonly measured e-SQ dimensions in banking are:

1. **Reliability / Functional correctness** — accuracy and consistency of transactions.
2. **Security & Privacy** — data protection, encryption, and transparent privacy policies.
3. **Ease of Use / Usability** — navigation, accessibility, and learnability.
4. **Responsiveness / Support** — system response times and availability of customer support (chat, phone).
5. **Information Quality** — timeliness, accuracy, and clarity of communications (statements, alerts).
6. **Personalization / Customization** — tailored recommendations and interfaces.
7. **Aesthetics & Design** — visual appeal, perceived professionalism.
8. **Transaction Efficiency** — speed and simplicity of core transactions (payments, transfers).
9. **Availability / Uptime** — system availability, particularly during peak periods.

Relative importance of these dimensions varies by channel (mobile vs web), geography (developed vs emerging markets), and customer segment (digital natives vs novices).

4. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE:

E-Service Quality → Customer Satisfaction

4.1 General pattern

A significant amount of cross-sectional and experimental research indicates a favorable correlation between perceived e-service quality and customer satisfaction in the banking sector. Security/privacy, dependability, and responsiveness regularly appear as robust predictors.

4.2 Findings that are representative:

• **Security and trust:** How safe people feel has a big impact on how satisfied they are, both directly and indirectly through trust (Suh & Han, 2002; Zhou, 2011).
• **Dependability and transaction success:** Transaction failures indicate unhappiness; enhanced dependability mitigates irritation and enhances pleasure (Amin, 2016).
• **Usability:** Making anything easy to use makes it seem more helpful and lessens the mental effort required, which makes people happier, especially those who are new to it (Liao & Cheung, 2002).
• **Quality and responsiveness of information:** Clear, timely communication and quick customer service may make bad experiences less bad and increase satisfaction (Jun & Cai, 2001).

4.3 Differences in different situations:

• **Mobile vs. online:** People who use mobile devices care more about how easy it is to use and how quickly apps respond. People who use the web care more about having extensive information and being able to find their way around.

• **Emerging markets:** Security and trust may be more important if people think there is a larger danger of online fraud (Gerrard & Cunningham, 2003).
• **Customer traits:** Older customers and those who aren't very good with computers prefer simpler interfaces and help from people (Kumbhar, 2011).

5. MEDIATORS AND MODERATORS:

5.1 Mediators

• **Trust:** The E-SQ characteristics of security, dependability, and transparency create trust, which affects how satisfied someone is (Suh & Han, 2002; Zhou, 2011).
• **Perceived value:** The convenience and time savings that come from high e-SQ raise perceived value and affect satisfaction (Amin, 2016).
• **Perceived risk:** A lower perceived risk (via security and clarity) leads to higher pleasure.

5.2 Moderators

• **Customer demographics:** including age, education, income, digital literacy, and technological readiness, influence impacts (Ladhari & Leclerc, 2013).
• **Service complexity:** Complex services (such processing mortgages) may need more hybrid (online and offline) support, which changes how e-SQ works.
• **Type of channel and market maturity:** The channel used and country/regulatory environment influence which e-SQ dimensions matter most.

6. METHODOGICAL REVIEW:

6.1 Methodologies of the studies:

The majority of research make use of cross-sectional surveys, which are frequently customer panels or convenience surveys. There are fewer experimental or field designs. There is a relative dearth of research that contain log data, behavioral data, and longitudinal surveys.

6.2 Measurement procedures and practices:

There is a widespread dependence on self-reported Likert scales (known as E-S-QUAL and adapted SERVQUAL). Behavioral metrics, such as retention from bank records, are not utilized to their full potential.

6.3 Some Restrictions:

- **Causality:** Cross-sectional designs have a limitation on the ability to draw causal inferences.
- **Bias in sampling:** An excessive dependence on student samples or populations representing a single bank diminishes the study's external validity.
- **Variance in the common method:** Self-report measures that come from a single source tend to overstate connections.
- **Insufficient degree of objective validation:** There are not many research that validate perceptual measurements by using behavioral or transactional data.

7. INTEGRATED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK:

A model of the proposed narrative:

The quality dimensions of e-services, which include security, reliability, usability, information quality, responsiveness, and personalization, are mediated by trust and perceived value. Additionally, customer satisfaction is influenced by these dimensions. Loyalty, retention, and advocacy are examples of behavioral outcomes. An individual's age, level of digital literacy, channel, and market maturity are all moderators. According to this concept, trust and perceived value are two of the most important factors by which e-SQ influences satisfaction and subsequent behavior.

8. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTION:

8.1 Gaps

• Longitudinal and causal evidence necessitates panel data and field tests that connect e-SQ modifications to satisfaction and behavior.
• Behavioral validation—combining app/web analytics and transaction logs to confirm what people think they see.

ISSN(O): 2456-6683
[Impact Factor: 9.241]

• Omnichannel service recovery—there needs to be more research on how online and offline service recovery work together when things go wrong.
• Emerging technologies—investigations should analyze AI chatbots, voice banking, and robo-advisors as integral elements of e-SQ.
• Cross-cultural, comparative studies – broader comparisons between countries that take into consideration varied cultural and regulatory environments.
• Granular segmentation—variation based on lifecycle stage, product type (retail versus SME), and financial sophistication.

8.2 Proposed subsequent investigations:
• Conduct A/B testing in the field on improvements to the interface and security to see how they affect satisfaction and retention.
• Studies that use more than one approach, like surveys, behavioral logs, and qualitative interviews.
• Longitudinal studies assessing the durability of satisfaction effects and their conversion into loyalty.

9. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS:

- Put security and dependability first; these are the most important things in banking.
- Use more than simply usability scores to measure important KPIs. Include trust indicators, complaint response time, and behavioral analytics.
- Segment design—make it easy for beginners to use and add complex functionality for power users.
- Combine channels such that information is always the same and handoffs are smooth across online and branch channels.
- Use analytics and experiments—use A/B tests and log data to make sure that changes to the interface work.

10. LIMITATIONS:
This review is not a quantitative meta-analysis; rather, it is a synthesis of conceptual and empirical patterns using existing research. The reference set is intended to serve as an example rather than as a comprehensive resource; readers who are considering submitting a work should supplement this evaluation with focused database searches and direct citation verification.

11. CONCUSION:
The quality of the electronic service is a primary factor in determining the level of customer satisfaction in the banking industry, particularly in terms of trust and perceived value. In the future, research should focus on improving the identification of causal relationships, incorporating behavioral data, and investigating the ways in which developing digital technologies influence e-satisfaction and satisfaction across a variety of client segments and marketplaces. Practitioners should direct their investments toward digital experiences that are safe, dependable, and user-friendly, and make sure that these experiences are validated through analytics and experimentation.

REFERENCES:

1. Amin, M. (2016). Internet banking service quality and its implication on e-customer satisfaction and e-customer loyalty. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 34*(3), 280–306.
2. Ayo, C. K., Oni, A. A., Adewoye, J. O., & Eweoya, I. O. (2016). E-banking users' behaviour: E-service quality, attitude, and customer satisfaction. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 34*(3), 347–367.
3. Chong, A. Y. L., Ooi, K. B., Lin, B., & Tan, B. I. (2010). Online banking adoption: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 28*(4), 267–287.
4. Gerrard, P., & Cunningham, J. B. (2003). The diffusion of internet banking among Singapore consumers. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 21*(1), 16–28.
5. Jun, M., & Cai, S. (2001). The key determinants of internet banking service quality: A content analysis. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 19*(7), 276–291.
6. Kumbhar, V. M. (2011). Factors affecting the customer satisfaction in e-banking: Some evidences from Indian banks. *Management Research and Practice, 3*(4), 1–14.
7. Ladhari, R., & Leclerc, A. (2013). Building loyalty with online financial services customers: Is there a gender difference? *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 20*(6), 560–569.

8. Liao, Z., & Cheung, M. T. (2002). Internet-based e-banking and consumer attitudes: An empirical study. *Information & Management, 39*(4), 283–295.
9. Mohammed, N. A., & Rashid, W. E. (2018). The impact of electronic service quality on customer satisfaction: A case study of the Arab Bank. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce, 23*(2), 1–15.
10. Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Malhotra, A. (2005). E-S-QUAL: A multiple-item scale for assessing electronic service quality. *Journal of Service Research, 7*(3), 213–233.
11. Raza, S. A., Umer, A., Qureshi, M. A., & Dahri, A. S. (2020). Internet banking service quality, e-customer satisfaction and loyalty: The modified e-SERVQUAL model. *The TQM Journal, 32*(6), 1443–1466.
12. Santos, J. (2003). E-service quality: A model of virtual service quality dimensions. *Managing Service Quality, 13*(3), 233–246.
13. Sharma, G., & Lijuan, W. (2015). The effects of online service quality of e-commerce websites on user satisfaction. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 25*, 147–153.
14. Siddiqi, K. O. (2011). Interrelations between service quality attributes, customer satisfaction, and customer loyalty in the retail banking sector in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Business and Management, 6*(3), 12–36.
15. Srivastava, M., & Sharma, S. (2014). Service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty in e-banking: A study on the Indian banking sector. *International Journal of Customer Relationship Marketing and Management, 5*(1), 1–17.
16. Suh, B., & Han, I. (2002). Effect of trust on customer acceptance of Internet banking. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 1*(3–4), 247–263.
17. Tam, C., & Oliveira, T. (2016). Understanding the impact of m-banking on individual performance: DeLone & McLean and TTF perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior, 61*, 233–244.
18. Yoon, C. (2010). Antecedents of customer satisfaction with online banking in China: The effects of experience. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26*(6), 1296–1304.
19. Zeithaml, V. A., Parasuraman, A., & Malhotra, A. (2002). Service quality delivery through websites: A critical review of extant knowledge. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 30*(4), 362–375.
20. Zhou, T. (2011). An empirical examination of initial trust in mobile banking. *Internet Research, 21*(5), 527–540.